

1 ROB BONTA
2 Attorney General of California
3 SARA J. DRAKE
4 Senior Assistant Attorney General
5 T. MICHELLE LAIRD
6 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
7 JEREMY STEVENS, State Bar No. 313883
8 TIMOTHY M. MUSCAT, State Bar No. 148944
9 Deputy Attorney General
10 1300 I Street, Suite 125
11 P.O. Box 944255
12 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
13 Telephone: (916) 210-7779
14 Fax: (916) 323-2319
15 E-mail: Timothy.Muscat@doj.ca.gov
Attorneys for Defendants

16
17 JOHN M. PEEBLES, State Bar No. 237582
18 PEEBLES KIDDER BERGIN & ROBINSON LLP
19 2020 L Street
20 Sacramento, CA 95811
21 Telephone: (916) 441-270
22 Email: jpeebles@ndnlaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

23
24 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
25 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

26
27
28 **BIG SANDY BAND OF WESTERN MONO**
INDIANS, a federally recognized Indian
tribe,

Plaintiff,

v.

GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor of the State
of California; and the STATE OF
CALIFORNIA,

Defendants.

No. 1:22-cv-00844-AWI-SKO

**STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES TO
EXTEND DATE BY WHICH ANSWER
IS DUE; ORDER**

(Doc. 12)

1 The parties, through their undersigned attorneys, hereby stipulate as follows:

2 1. The defendant State of California (State) and defendant Gavin Newsom in his
3 official capacity as Governor of the State of California (Governor) (collectively, Defendants)
4 were served in this case with the summons and Complaint on July 26, 2022.

5 2. The Complaint, filed by Plaintiff Big Sandy Band of Western Mono Indians
6 (Plaintiff), alleges five claims that the Defendants failed to negotiate with Plaintiff in good faith
7 under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (IGRA), 25 U.S.C. §§ 2701-2721, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1166-
8 1167. The Complaint is 82 pages long, and consists of 298 separate paragraphs. In addition,
9 there are 339 exhibits attached to the Complaint, and these exhibits are approximately 8000 pages
10 in length.

11 3. Given the complexity and length of the Complaint, counsel for Defendants
12 requires additional time to prepare Defendants' responsive pleading. Following discussions by
13 the parties' attorneys, the parties have agreed to stipulate that Defendants may timely file their
14 answer to the Complaint on or before September 15, 2022. Pursuant to this agreement, the
15 Defendants' answer to the Complaint will be filed prior to this case's mandatory scheduling
16 conference, which is set for November 8, 2022 before Magistrate Sheila K. Oberto.

17 4. Plaintiff and Defendants request the Court to enter an order approving, and
18 ordering the parties to carry out the terms of, this stipulation.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Dated: August 16, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

2 ROB BONTA
3 Attorney General of California
4 SARA J. DRAKE
5 Senior Assistant Attorney General
T. MICHELLE LAIRD
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
JEREMY STEVENS
Deputy Attorney General

6 */s/ Timothy M. Muscat*

7 TIMOTHY M. MUSCAT
8 Deputy Attorney General
9 *Attorneys for Defendants*

10 Dated: August 16, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

11 PEEBLES KIDDER BERGIN AND
12 ROBINSON LLP

13 */s/ John M. Peebles (as authorized
on 8/16/22)*

14 John M. Peebles
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' above stipulation (Doc. 12), and for good cause shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the deadline for Defendants to file their answer to the Complaint is extended to September 15, 2022.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 17, 2022

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE